

# ULTRA-HIGH RESOLUTION OBSERVATION-DRIVEN LAND MODELING NEEDED TO ENABLE THE DEVELOPMENT OF GLOBAL CLOUD RESOLVING EARTH SYSTEM MODELS

# Paul R. Houser, Mike Bosilovich, Christa Peters-Lidard, and Wei-Kuo Tao

## **NASA Goddard Space Flight Center**

The relatively crude representation of cloud processes in global climate models has long been recognized as a primary source of uncertainty in climate change predictions. It is well known that the high-resolution time and space complexity of land surface phenomena have significant influence on atmospheric boundary layer turbulence and cloud processes. Scale truncation errors, unrealistic physics formulations, and inadequate coupling between surface fluxes and the overlying atmosphere cause serious systematic errors. Standard subgrid-scale tiling approaches are not able to adequately represent observed heterogeneity and boundary-layer interaction (Bosilovich, 2002), which means that fine-scale model representations are required. The inability to explicitly account for the considerable spatial and temporal variability in terrain topography, surface properties, rainfall, and net surface radiation constitute an organic weakness of current climate models and a cause for substantial errors in model simulations of near-surface climate over land.

Therefore, the land surface research community must progress toward a fully process-scale resolving model of land surface hydrology, atmospheric dynamics, and cloud processes over the global domain (Tao et al., 2003). We must integrate all obviously interdependent land-atmosphere processes into a common ultra-resolution (100s of meters) framework for Earth system modeling, through fusion of traditional land surface hydrology modules with boundary-layer turbulence and cloud process modules. Decisions regarding the model formulations must be guided to the greatest extent possible by the use of observations, as prescribed input, data assimilation constraints, validation or relevance to applications or decision-making.

To this end, we envision two, eventually convergent, paths toward fully interactive land-atmosphere coupling: (1) In the near-term, implement traditional cloud parameterization and atmospheric turbulence schemes and implicitly couple those (through the atmospheric circulation) to patch-based land mod-

els at highest possible resolution; and (2) In the long-term, develop true global process-resolving coupled land-atmosphere models in a phased approach, starting with (a) off-line land-cloud process resolving studies, then progressing to (b) land-cloud super-parameterizations based on sampling the relevant process scales, (c) nested land-cloud resolving models in a Global Circulation Model (GCM) framework, and finally (d) to a true global ultra-high-resolution global cloud-land process resolving model. These two paths will eventually converge when computing power allows the resolution of the Earth system model to overlap the resolution of the global cloud resolving model.

The unprecedented availability of new global land-surface remote sensing data over the past decade should be a fundamental driver for the development of new scientific understanding and modeling innovations. Land data assimilation systems have been developed that use sophisticated land surface models to ingest satellite and groundbased observations, as parameters, forcing, and data for assimilation, in order to produce the best possible fields of land surface states and fluxes. The multi-institution North American Land Data Assimilation System (NLDAS) project was the first to embrace this concept (Mitchell et al., 1999). Its success led to the development of Global LDAS (GLDAS) (Houser and Rodell, 2002; Rodell et al., 2004; http://ldas.gsfc.nasa.gov) through the joint effort of scientists at the National Aeronautics and Space Administration's (NASA) Goddard Space Flight Center and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA) National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP).

The 1/4-degree resolution, high quality, near-real time and retrospective output fields that have resulted from GLDAS (see the figure at the bottom of page 1), the first of their kind, are providing the basis for global scale studies of the hydrological cycle and meteorological processes. In addition, GLDAS surface state fields are being tested in weather and climate model initialization studies at NCEP and NASA's Global Modeling and Assimilation Office (GMAO), where GLDAS soil moisture fields have been shown to improve the predictability of seasonal precipitation, and are being tested as input to water management decision support systems.

The Land Information System (LIS) Project (http://lis.gsfc.nasa.gov) has streamlined and parallelized the GLDAS code and has executed 1-km resolution, global simulations using 3 different land models on high performance computing plat-

8 August 2004



forms. LIS incorporates Assistance for Land surface Modeling Activities (ALMA) and Earth System Modeling Framework (ESMF) standards to facilitate inter-operation with other Earth system models. LIS also employs a Grid Analysis and Display System – Distributed Oceanographic Data System (GrADS-DODS) server framework which allows for seamless access to large observational databases. LIS is currently being coupled to the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) and Goddard Cumulus Ensemble (GCE) models to explore surface-layer feedback effects due to assimilation. However, there remain great challenges in representing process-scale land-surface dynamics in Earth system models, such as the need for LSM-compatible groundwater, glacier, ice sheet, and wetland models and schemes for simulating the effects of dams, agriculture, and irrigation on land surface hydrology.

New global remote sensing observations provide the foundation for the development of a new generation of Earth system models that will explicitly resolve weather and climate relevant physical, chemical and biological processes, in order to improve dramatically the understanding and prediction of weather and climate. This will require, among other things, an ultra-high-resolution observation-driven land surface model with process-scale hydrology and biogeochemistry dynamics that is implicitly coupled to high-resolution boundary-layer turbulence and cloud microphysics parameterizations. These innovations will be invaluable for a wide range of applications, including satellite data assimilation, observation system design, weather forecasting and climate simulation.

#### References

Bosilovich, M. G., 2002. On the use and validation of mosaic heterogeneity in atmospheric numerical models. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29, 10.1029/2001GL013925.

Houser, P. and M. Rodell, 2002. GLDAS: An Important Contribution to CEOP. *GEWEX News*, May 2002, 2,8,9,16.

Mitchell, K. E., et al. 2004. The multi-institution North American Land Data Assimilation System (NLDAS): Utilizing multiple GCIP products and partners in a continental distributed hydrological modeling system, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D07S90, doi:10.1029/2003JD003823.

Rodell, M., P. R. Houser, U. Jambor, J. Gottschalck, K. Mitchell, C.-J Meng, K. Arsenault, B. Cosgrove, J. Radakovich, M. Bosilovich, J. K. Entin, J. P. Walker, D. Lohmann, and D. Toll, 2004. The Global Land Data Assimilation System. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 85 (3), 381-394.

Tao, W.-K. and co-authors, 2003. Microphysics, radiation and surface processes in the Goddard Cumulus Ensemble (GCE) model, *Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics*, 82, 97-137.

# THE ROLE OF FINE-SCALE LANDSCAPE AND SOIL MOISTURE VARIABILITY IN THE INITIATION OF DEEP CONVECTION

# Fei Chen, Thomas T. Warner, Stanley B. Trier, and Kevin W. Manning

## National Center for Atmospheric Research

Understanding the feedback between land-surface variability and precipitation has been a central GEWEX issue because of its potential benefit in improving climate predictability. In the summer, mesoscale boundaries play a critical role in the initiation of heavy precipitation. The zones of enhanced convergence along these boundaries have been recognized as areas of deep-convection initiation. The origin of these meso-scale boundaries includes synoptic-scale fronts, outflows from previous storms, orographic features, and differential surface heating. The differential heating can be enhanced by heterogeneities in land-surface conditions. The land surface may have differing impacts, depending on atmospheric conditions. Small-scale ground features, such as vegetation, hillslopes, and urban or industrial areas can also have subtle impacts that can determine the exact boundary and intensity of storms.

Chen et al. (2001) examined the impact of the land surface and terrain on the 1996 flash flood that struck Colorado's Buffalo Creek watershed. Among their findings: the wildfire burn area received particularly heavy rainfall during the flood, possibly because the denuded site transferred more heat into the atmosphere than an area filled with living trees would. That extra heat would enhance a local storm by adding to the buoyancy of updrafts. In a study focusing on heavy precipitation associated with a dryline in the south central United States, Trier et al. (2004) found that fine-scale (L~10 km) boundarylayer circulations that directly trigger deep convection are confined within a mesoscale region containing a deeper and more unstable Planetary Boundary Layer (PBL), and that this region is a result of a surface sensible heat-flux maximum over dry soils. They utilized the soil moisture fields from a high-resolution land data-assimilation system (HRLDAS) and from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) Eta Model Data Assimilation System (EDAS) to initialize the MM5 model. The simulation initialized with EDAS soil moisture did not initiate deep convection along the dryline in Texas (see figure at the top of page 16) as shown in the satellite image because of subtle differences in soil moisture and in the subsequent evolution of the boundary layer.

(Continued on page 15)

9

August 2004



#### LAND SURFACE MODELING IN BALTEX

(Continued from page 7)

Henderson-Sellers, A., A. Pitman, P. Love, P. Irrannejad, and T. Chen, 1995. The project for intercomparison of land-surface parameterization schemes (PILPS): Phases 2 and 3. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 94, 489-503.

Lohmann, D., R. Nolte-Holube, and E. Raschke, 1996. A large scale horizontal routing model to be coupled to land surface parameterization schemes. *Tellus*, 48A, 708-721.

Mengelkamp, H.-T., Kiely, G., and Warrach, K., 2001. Evaluation of hydrological processes added to an atmospheric land-surface scheme, *Theor. Appl. Climatol*, 69, 199-212.

Richter, K.-G., P. Lorenz, M. Ebel, and D. Jacob, 2003. Analysis of the water cycle of the BALTEX basin with an integrated atmospheric hydrological ocean model, *Proc.* 4<sup>th</sup> Study Conf. on BALTEX, Bornholm, May 2004, 154-155.

Smedmann, A.-S. and U. Hoegstroem, 2004. The marine boundary layer – new findings from the Oestergarnsholm air-sea interaction site in the Baltic Sea, *Proc.* 4<sup>th</sup> Study Conf. on BALTEX, Bornholm, May 2004, 41-42.

# THE ROLE OF FINE-SCALE LANDSCAPE AND SOIL MOISTURE

(Continued from page 9)

Results from these and other recent research studies provide some hope that the careful treatment of land-surface physics and soil moisture in convection-resolving models can lead to increased rainfall predictability. In particular, this should be achievable by improving: 1) the representation of land surface processes, 2) the initialization of soil properties, and 3) the specification of various vegetation characteristics by combining modeling, new remote sensing capabilities, and data-assimilation techniques. It is, however, a more daunting challenge to incorporate the complex mesoscale interactions among the land surface, the boundary layer, and clouds, in large-scale climate models. These interactions play a critical role in determining the timing and location of convection initiation. and the intensity of precipitation, but operate on subgrid scales. Our understanding of these complicated interactions is still primitive, but a coordinated research program, within GEWEX, on coupled landatmosphere modeling and comparison of simulations with field data will be a major step forward.

#### References

Chen, F., T. Warner, and K. Manning, 2001. Sensitivity of orographic moist convection to landscape variability: A Study of the Buffalo Creek, Colorado, flash-flood case of 1996. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 58, 3204-3223.

Trier, S., F. Chen, and K. Manning, 2004. A study of convection initiation in a mesoscale model using high-resolution land surface initial conditions. *Mon. Wea. Rev., in press.* 

### GEWEX/WCRP MEETINGS CALENDAR

For the complete listing of meetings, see the GEWEX web site (http://www.gewex.org)

- **30–31 August 2004**—GAPP PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS MEETING, Boulder, Colorado, USA.
- **13–15 September 2004**—GSWP-2 SCIENCE WORK-SHOP, Kyoto, Japan.
- **13–16 September 2004**—10TH MEETING OF THE GEWEX HYDROMETEOROLOGY PANEL, Montevideo, Uruguay.
- **15–17 September 2004**—GLASS PANEL MEETING, Kyoto, Japan.
- **17–18 September 2004**—CEOP MONSOON MEETING, Montevideo, Uruguay.
- **21–23 September 2004**—GCSS SCIENCE PANEL MEET-ING, NASA GISS, New York, NY, USA.
- **23–25 September 2004**—WCRP OFFICERS, CHAIRS AND DIRECTORS MEETING, Geneva, Switzerland.
- **11–15 October 2004**—20TH SESSION OF THE CAS/JSC WGNE/8TH SESSION OF THE GMPP, Exeter, UK.
- **18–19 October 2004**—GRP WORKING GROUP ON DATA MANAGEMENT AND ANALYSIS (WGDMA), Kyoto, Japan.
- **20–22 October 2004**—15TH SESSION OF THE GEWEX RADIATION PANEL, Kyoto, Japan.
- **3–5 November 2004**—IGWCO/GEWEX/UNESCO WORKSHOP ON TRENDS IN GLOBAL WATER CYCLE VARIABLES, Paris, France.
- 1–5 December 2004—GAME INTERNATIONAL SCIENCE PANEL MEETING AND 6TH INTERNATIONAL STUDY CONFERENCE ON GEWEX IN ASIA AND GAME, Kyoto, Japan.

20–24 June 2005—5TH INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC CONFERENCE ON THE GLOBAL ENERGY AND WATER CYCLE, Orange County, California, USA.

#### **GEWEX NEWS**

Published by the International GEWEX Project Office

Richard G. Lawford, Director Dawn P. Erlich, Editor

Mail: International GEWEX Project Office 1010 Wayne Avenue, Suite 450 Silver Spring, MD 20910, USA Tel: (301) 565-8345 Fax: (301) 565-8279

E-mail: gewex@gewex.org
WWW Site: http://www.gewex.org

August 2004 15